

APR 11

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
PRISONER/PAROLEE GRIEVANCE APPEAL FORM

Rec'd 3/24/18

4835-4248 5/09
CSJ-247B

Date Received by Grievance Coordinator
at Step II: MAR 28 2018

Grievance Identifier: URF 18 01 031 07551 28 I

INSTRUCTIONS: THIS FORM IS ONLY TO BE USED TO APPEAL A STEP I GRIEVANCE.

The white copy of the Prisoner/Parolee Grievance Form CSJ-247A (or the goldenrod copy if you have not been provided with a Step I response in a timely manner) **MUST** be attached to the white copy of this form if you appeal it at both Step II and Step III.

If you should decide to appeal the Step I grievance response to Step II, your appeal should be directed to: URF
Warden's Office by 3-29-18. If it is not submitted by this date, it will be considered terminated
untimely.

If you should decide to appeal the response you receive at Step II, you should send your Step III Appeal to the Director's Office, P.O. Box 30003, Lansing, Michigan, 48909.

Name (Print first, last)	Number	Institution	Lock Number	Date of Incident	Today's Date
GOULD, RAND W.	C-187131	URF	B-176	3/05/18	3/24/18

STEP II - Reason for Appeal Here is a list of grievant's most recent attempts to resolve URF mail room staff's delay of mail in violation of PD 05.03.118 (H11H), now (BEE), indicating staff does not respond to kites. See URF 18 01 0296 15E; URF 18 01 0297 15E; and URF 18 02 0440 28A (non-delivery). Here is a further list of grievances, where URF staff refuse or fail to respond to kites. See URF 18 02 0441 28A; URF 18 02 0520 282; URF 18 02 0521 28A; and URF 18 02 0646 28A. URF mail room staff recently failed to respond to 3 requests for an administrative hearing in concern of mail rejection on 1/26/18, until grievance was filed on 3/23/18, with hearing held on 3/19/18. See URF 18 03 0714 07B. As PD 03.02.130 (G) (5) makes perfectly clear need only "attempt to resolve the issue with staff prior to filing the grievance," which he has done repeatedly. Wherefore, Mr. McLean, in flagrant violation of policy, is attempting to cover up the criminal actions of URF mail room staff in violation of 18 USC §§ 241, 242, 1702 & 1703, thus, is complicit in same, as well as failing to perform his public duty, misconduct in office, obstruction of justice and misprison requiring prosecution according to MCL 750.473 & 750.505.

STEP II - Response

Date Received by
Step II Respondent:
MAR 28 2018

See Attached

Rec'd 4/04/18

COUNIG HORTON, WARDEN
Respondent's Name (Print)

Horton C
Respondent's Signature

3/29/18
Date

Date Returned to
Grievant:
4-2-18

STEP III - Reason for Appeal Step II respondent "reviewed" grievance, yet ignored a veritable laundry list of grievant's documented attempts to resolve the URF mail room's refusal to comply with PD 05.03.118 (BEE), formerly (H11H), now requiring incoming mail to be processed "within two business days." In this case they managed to process a letter from Andrew Ben that was sent to grievant on 1/14/18, yet never received, then re-sent on 2/08/18 and finally delivered to grievant on 3/05/18, over 3 weeks late. Consequently, McLean's rejection and Horton's covering for him is a deliberate attempt to deprive grievant and sender of their civil rights in violation of law and interference with U.S. P.S. mail and both along with mail room staff are required by law to be prosecuted in accordance with 18 USC §§ 241, 242, 1702 & 1703. Remedy demanded.

NOTE: Only a copy of this appeal and the response will be returned to you. If Step 2 and it must be granted.

STEP III - Director's Response is attached as a separate sheet.

[Signature] 4/04/18

STEP II GRIEVANCE RESPONSE FOR PRISONER GOULD 187131 B-176 (URF)

Grievance **URF 1803 0755 28I**, has been reviewed.

The Step I rejection has been reviewed by the Warden's Office in accordance with PD 03.02.130, "Prisoner/Parolee Grievances" and the rejection is upheld at Step II.



Connie Horton, Warden



Date

CH/ka

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
PRISONER/PAROLEE GRIEVANCE FORM

4835-4247 10/94
 CSJ-247A

Date Received at Step I 3-12-18 Grievance Identifier: URF1181031 107551 2811

Be brief and concise in describing your grievance issue. If you have any questions concerning the grievance procedure, refer to PD 03.02.130 and OP 03.02.130 available in the prison Law Library.

Name (print first, last)	Number	Institution	Lock Number	Date of Incident	Today's Date
GOULD, RAND W.	C-187131	URF	B-176	3/05/18	3/09/18

What attempt did you make to resolve this issue prior to writing this grievance? On what date? Continually
 If none, explain why. Grievant has a standing complaint regarding mail room staff's incompetence, malfeasance, obstruction of justice and failure to perform their duties, as magnified by the implementation of the new, as of 11/01/17, mail policy, which they refuse to comply with as written.

State problem clearly. Use separate grievance form for each issue. Additional pages, using plain paper, may be used. Four copies of each page and supporting documents must be submitted with this form. The grievance must be submitted to the Grievance Coordinator in accordance with the time limits of OP 03.02.130.

On 3/05/18, grievant received a letter sent to him on 2/08/18, nearly one month after its mailing and approximately 3 weeks after its arrival at URF. In violation of PD 05.03.118 (HHR), which requires mail to be delivered within one day after its arrival at URF. To make matters worse, this letter indicates it had originally been sent to grievant on 1/14/18, yet he never received it. Moreover, sender indicates that he, in turn, did not receive grievant's letters of 12/30/17 and 2/01/18. Consequently, it is manifest that URF mail room staff are unlawfully interfering with both incoming and outgoing U.S. P.S. mail in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1702 & 1703, and with both grievant's and sender's rights to send and receive U.S. mail and Freedom of speech as embodied in U.S. Const. Am. I and Mich. Const., 1963, art. 1, § 5, in what has all the hallmarks of an ongoing conspiracy to deprive grievant of his civil rights under color of law, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 & 242. Wherefore, this matter must be turned over to the U.S. Postal Inspector and U.S. Attorney General for investigation and prosecution for said violations of Federal laws, as the MDOC has demonstrated a marked inability to deal with the staff corruption at URF.

Grievant's Signature [Signature] 3/09/18

RESPONSE (Grievant Interviewed?) Yes No If No, give explanation. If resolved, explain resolution.)

See attached response

Rec'd 3/16/18

<u>[Signature]</u> Respondent's Signature	<u>3-12-18</u> Date	<u>[Signature]</u> Reviewer's Signature	<u>3/15/18</u> Date
<u>M. McLean</u> Respondent's Name (Print)	<u>GC</u> Working Title	<u>[Signature]</u> Reviewer's Name (Print)	<u>A. Spivey</u> Working Title

Date Returned to Grievant: <u>3-14-18</u>	If resolved at Step I, Grievant sign here. Resolution must be described above.	Grievant's Signature	Date
---	--	----------------------	------

Michigan Department of Corrections
GRIEVANCE REJECTION LETTER

DATE: Monday, March 12, 2018

TO: GOULD RAND W 187131 **LOCATION:** URF B 176

FROM: M. McLean, Grievance Coordinator

SUBJECT: Receipt/Rejection/Denial for Step I Grievance

Your Step I grievance regarding **Grievance Rejections**

was received in this office on **03/12/18** and was rejected due to the following reason:

Your grievance is being rejected for the reason that you are in violation of PD 03.02.130. This procedure states that you must attempt to discuss the issue with the person(s) most directly involved to resolve this issue before filing a grievance (this includes kiting when necessary). According to your grievance form you have failed to do this. Grievance denied at first step. If, after you have discussed this issue with the staff person(s) involved and the issue is not resolved you may resubmit this issue on a new step I form. If you do wish to resubmit this issue it must still be within the time limits prescribed in OP 03.02.130.

Any future references to this grievance should utilize this identifier:

URF — 18— 03 — 755 — 28 I